EPSDT Second Round
PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

PROBATION
Does program serve a priority population?

☐ ***Yes*** ☐ ***No - State reason:***

Agency: _____

Evaluated by: _____ Date: _____

A. Fiscal readiness and fit with EPSDT funding requirements

5 = Excellent 4 = Very good 3 = Average 2 = Below average 1 = Unacceptable

Fiscal Review Team score (5 max) = ____

B. Expertise and cultural competency with probation population, or a plan to achieve this.

***3** = Demonstrated expertise or plan in place, **2** = No expertise, plan needs work, **1**= Not enough information*

Key elements:

(1) Working with mandated clients — Score (3 max) = ___

(2) Juvenile Probation Department's mission of public safety, and the responsibilities of mental health professionals within that context — Score (3 max) = ___

(3) Structure of program appropriate to specific needs of population (developmental stage, legal status, etc.) — Score (3 max) = ___

(4) Importance of linkages and collaboration with Probation Department throughout treatment — Score (3 max) = ___

(5) Referral and transition services — Score (3 max) = ___

Total section score (15 max) = ____

C. Institutional Capacity

Including a demonstrated ability and/or plan for generating amount of referrals needed for sustainability and realistic plan for roll-out

5 = Excellent 4 = Very good 3 = Average 2 = Below average 1 = Unacceptable

Score (5 max) = ____

FINAL SCORE (*A – C*)
(25 max) _____

Comments: